IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

WI-LAN INC., Plaintiff,	<i>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</i>	
vs.	\ \ \ \	CASE NO. 6:10-CV-521
ALCATEL-LUCENT USA INC.,	§	
ET AL.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
WI-LAN INC.,	§ §	
Plaintiff,	§	
	<i>\$\to\$</i> \$\to\$	CASE NO. 6:13-CV-252
Vs.	§	
	§	
HTC CORPORATION,	§	
ET AL.,	§	
	§ .	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you in the Charge of the Court.

1. Did Wi-LAN prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants **Alcatel-Lucent** or **Ericsson** infringed any of the following claims of the '326, '327, or '819 Patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each of the listed claims in the spaces provided below. "Yes" is a finding that the claim is infringed. "No" is a finding that the claim is not infringed.

'326 Patent Claims	Alcatel-Lucent	Ericsson
2	No	No
5	No	No
9	Ne	No

'327 Patent Claims	Alcatel-Lucent	Ericsson
11	No	N.
12	No	No

'819 Patent Claim	Alcatel-Lucent	Ericsson
11	.1.	Ala

2. Did Wi-LAN prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants HTC or Sony Mobile infringed any of the following claims of the '211 Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each of the listed claims in the spaces provided below. "Yes" is a finding that the claim is infringed. "No" is a finding that the claim is not infringed.

'211 Patent Claims	нтс	Sony Mobile
2	No	No
5	A)o	No

3. Did Defendants prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of the patents-in-suit are invalid by Anticipation or Obviousness?

Answer "Yes" or "No" in the space provided beside each particular claim. "Yes" is a finding that the claim is invalid. "No" is a finding that the claim is not invalid.

'326 Patent Claims	Anticipation?	Obviousness?
2	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	Yes
9	N/A	Yes

'819 Patent Claim	Obviousness?
11	Yes

'211 Patent Claims	Anticipation?	Obviousness?
2	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	Yes

Answer Question No. 4 only for claims you found infringed and not invalid:

4. For each Defendant listed below, what sum of money, if any, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Wi-Lan for each Defendant's infringement of the patent Claims that you have found infringed and not invalid up to the time of trial?

Alcatel-Lucent:	\$
Ericsson:	\$
HTC:	\$
Sony Mobile:	\$

7/15/13 DATE